1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3	žā.		
4	October 24, 2012 - 10:11 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire		
5	Concord, New	NHPUC NOV05'12 PM 2:49	
6	DE.		
7	KE:	DE 12-291 PUBLIC SERVICE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE:	
8		2013 Stranded Cost Recovery Charge Adjustment.	
9		(Prehearing conference)	
10	PRESENT:	Chairman Amy L. Ignatius, Presiding	
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Michael D. Harrington	
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk	
13			
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Public Service of New Hampshire:	
15	y eggs, eggs	Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.	
16		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Susan W. Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate	
17		Stephen Eckberg Office of Consumer Advocate	
18		Reptg. PUC Staff:	
19		Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Steven E. Mullen, Asst. Dir./Electric Div.	
20			
21			
22			
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
24			

1			
2	II	NDEX	
3		PAGE NO.	
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY PO	OSITION BY:	
5	Mr. Foss	sum 5	
6	Ms. Cham	mberlin 6	
7	Ms. Amid	don 6	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

{DE 12-291} [Prehearing conference] {10-24-12}

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'd like to open the
3	hearing in Docket DE 12-291. We're doing two
4	back-to-back, and I think that's the order we're going in,
5	is that correct, the Stranded Cost case first?
6	MR. HALL: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We have, as I say,
8	two prehearing conferences to kick off dockets this
9	morning that are somewhat related. 12-291 is Public
10	Service Company of New Hampshire's 2013 Stranded Cost
11	Recovery Charge. The Company filed, on September 28,
12	2012, a petition to set the Stranded Cost Recovery Charge
13	for effect with service rendered on and after January 1,
14	2013. The SCRC is set on a forecasted basis every six
15	months, and includes, at this point, any over or under
16	recoveries of Part 1 and Part 2 stranded costs from the
17	previous period. Part 3 has been fully recovered.
18	By notice dated October 9th, we issued
19	called for a prehearing conference to be held this
20	morning, followed by the second prehearing conference, and
21	then a joint technical session to address both dockets at
22	once.
23	So, let's begin with appearances please.

MR. FOSSUM: Good morning, Commissioner.

24

4

```
My name is Matthew Fossum, on behalf of Public Service
 1
 2
       Company of New Hampshire.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning.
 4
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Good morning.
 5
       Chamberlin, Consumer Advocate, and with me today is
 6
       Stephen Eckberg.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning.
                         MS. AMIDON: Good morning.
 8
                                                     Suzanne
       Amidon, for Commission Staff, and with me is Steve Mullen,
 9
10
       who is the Assistant Director of the Electric Division.
11
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning.
       Welcome, everyone. Do we have publication of the notice?
12
13
       Affidavit is received? Good. Thank you very much.
14
       I saw no request for intervention in the file. Is there
15
       anyone here who is seeking intervention?
16
                         (No verbal response)
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: It doesn't appear.
18
       We have notice from the OCA that they will be
       participating. Are there any other just sort of paperwork
19
20
       procedural things to pick up before we take positions?
21
                         MR. FOSSUM: I do have one question.
22
       this to be a prehearing conference just on the SCRC first,
23
       and then we'll have sort of a separate session in a few
24
       minutes on the other one?
```

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
                                             Yes.
 2
                         MR. FOSSUM: Okay.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
                                             Yes.
                                                   Just in case
       there is someone who is seeking to intervene in the Energy
 4
 5
       Service docket and not in this one.
 6
                         MR. FOSSUM:
                                      That's fine.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
       why don't we have a presentation of preliminary issues,
 8
 9
       and any matters that we should be aware of as the docket
10
      begins that may be out of the ordinary. Mr. Fossum.
11
                         MR. FOSSUM: Well, to the best of my
12
       knowledge, and in response to the question that you just
13
       posed, no, there is nothing out of the ordinary about this
14
       filing for the stranded cost recovery. Consistent with
15
       prior filings, PSNH has submitted proposed changes to the
16
       Stranded Cost Recovery Charge, as outlined in the filing,
17
       for effect on January 1st, 2013. Rates are calculated as
18
       demonstrated -- or, the proposed rates calculated is
       demonstrated in the filing and consistent with prior
19
       filings, and will be -- and, it's the Company's intent to
20
21
       update that filing closer to the date of the hearing, as
22
      has been the standard practice.
23
                         Just to highlight the two issues that
24
       are in the stranded cost filing, as to the amount of the
```

1	rate, as demonstrated in Mr. Baumann's testimony, in the	
2	Company's filing, the rate will be is proposed to	
3	decrease due to the expected amortization of Rate	
4	Reduction Bonds in early 2013, as well as projections	
5	having to do with market prices over over-market costs for	
6	independent power purchase agreements.	
7	With that, the Company will work with	
8	the Staff and the OCA with these filings, and will pursue	
9	them in the manner that they have been pursued consistent	
10	with past practice. Thank you.	
11	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.	
12	Ms. Chamberlin.	
13	MS. CHAMBERLIN: We have nothing	
14	specific in response to the filing as PSNH presented it.	
15	Overall, there's a series of interrelated dockets. And,	
16	the Consumer Advocate is looking to reinforce the	
17	principles of RSA 374-F:3 regarding customer choice. So,	
18	whatever options we have in each docket to promote that	
19	for residential ratepayers, that's what we will be doing.	
20	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Ms.	
21	Amidon.	
22	MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Staff has no	
23	position on the docket at present. We do plan to conduct	
24	discovery beginning with the technical session that will	

1	follow the prehearing conference. I believe there is a
2	joint technical session. So, we'll commence discovery at
3	that point. We also have a proposed procedural schedule
4	to discuss with the Company and with the OCA with a
5	proposed hearing date of December 18th for this proceeding
6	and for the Energy Service proceeding as well. So, we
7	will report back to the Commission following the
8	prehearing conference with our recommendations.
9	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Thank
10	you. Any questions from the Commissioners?
11	(No verbal response)
12	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then, we
13	will take this under advisement. We, obviously, await the
14	results of the discussions on a procedural schedule, and
15	any other scoping issues or matters that come up in the
16	technical session.
17	And, we'll adjourn this proceeding,
18	reconvene at 10:30 with the Energy Service prehearing
19	conference.
20	(Whereupon the prehearing conference
21	ended at 10:18 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	